

The k -orbit theory and Fein-Kantor-Schacher Theorem

Aleksandr Golubchik

Bramscher Str. 57, 49088 Osnabrueck, Germany,
e-mail: agolubch@uos.de

02.02.2005

Abstract

By the investigation of k -orbits symmetry properties it is obtained a simple proof of the B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher Theorem: any transitive permutation group contains a non-trivial fixed-point-free prime-power element.

Key words: k -orbits, partitions, permutations, symmetry, groups

1 Introduction

Using the Classification of finite simple groups, B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher [2] give a proof of the

Theorem 1 *Any transitive permutation group contains a non-trivial fixed-point-free prime-power element.*

In the pre-doctoral and doctoral students course “Permutation groups, structures, and polynomials” in Prague, January - February 2004, P. Cameron suggested a problem: to find a simple proof of the theorem 1 that does not use the Classification of finite simple groups. This problem P. Cameron estimated as “very difficult”.

Below we give such a proof, based on the k -orbit theory developed by author that studies symmetry properties of k -orbits. The first attempt to describe this theory was launched in [4].

2 k -Orbit theory

Let $G(V)$ be a permutation group of a degree n and $V^{(k)}$ ($k \leq n$) be a non-diagonal part of V^k (i.e. all k values of coordinates of a k -tuple $\alpha_k \in V^{(k)}$ are different), then the action of G on $V^{(k)}$ forms a partition $GV^{(k)}$ of $V^{(k)}$ on G -invariant classes. This partition is called a system of k -orbits of G and we denote it as $Orb_k(G)$.

The k -orbit theory studies symmetry properties of k -orbits that cannot be obtained from permutation group theory, studying a permutation group G as a permutation algebra and therefore being not able to see the inside structure of a n -orbit of G and relations between k -orbits of subgroups of G .

The k -orbit theory gives a new view on abstract finite groups and finite permutation groups and shows a way to simple solutions of some problems which either are not solved or have a complicated solution. These problems are: the polynomial solution of graphs isomorphism problem; the problem of a full invariant of finite groups, admitting a primitive (isomorphic) permutation representation; Polycirculant conjecture: the automorphism group of a transitive graph contains a regular element (s. [1]); a simple proof of the Feit-Thompson theorem: the

solvability of finite groups of odd order (that lies in the foundation of the Classification of finite simple groups [6]) and the simplest of those task that we consider in this paper.

The specificity of the n -orbit representation of a group G is a possibility to do a group visible. In order to go to this visibility one makes a partition of a matrix of a n -orbit on cells of k -orbits of subgroups of an investigated permutation group G and studies symmetry properties of cells and the whole partition. Below we consider some facts from the k -orbit theory which are necessary for the proof of theorem 1.

The k -orbit theory considers different actions of permutations on k -sets and symmetries that follows from those actions. Let $\alpha_n = \langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$ be a n -tuple, then the left action of a permutation g on α_n is defined as $g\alpha_n = \langle gv_1 \dots gv_n \rangle$ and the right action of g on α_n is given as $\alpha_n g = \langle v_{g1} \dots v_{gn} \rangle$ (here $V = [1, n]$). From that we obtain the left and right action of a permutation on k -tuples and k -sets.

The left action of a permutation is (on definition) an isomorphism ($((123)\{123, 132\} = \{231, 213\})$), the right action is not an isomorphism ($(\{123, 132\}(123) = \{231, 321\})$). If the right action is an isomorphism, then it is an indicium for existing of a subgroup with non-trivial normalizer, whose n -orbit contains (right-)isomorphic k -subsets connected with considered right action, for example a subgroup

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 12 & 34 \\ \hline 21 & 43 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ in a group } \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 12 & 34 \\ \hline 21 & 43 \\ \hline 34 & 12 \\ \hline 43 & 21 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

where subgroup and group are represented through their n -orbits.

Let X_n be a n -orbit of G . One of n -tuples from X_n we choose as an *initial* n -tuple and consider all permutations from G relatively to this n -tuple. If α_n is an initial n -tuple, then $X_n = G\alpha_n$. The specificity of an initial n -tuple is the equality of number and order values of its coordinates (that we take from the same ordered set V). We indicate the n -space V^n through the initial n -tuple. So the ordered subsets of the initial n -tuple point out corresponding subspaces. A k -orbit X_k of G is a projection of X_n on some subspace $I_k \in X_k$. We write this projection as $X_k = \hat{p}^{(I_k)}X_n$. So we consider subspaces as ordered sets of coordinates and k -orbits as non-ordered sets of k -tuples. The different orderings of k -tuples (lines) in a matrix of a k -orbit shows different symmetry properties of k -orbit, related with corresponding properties of the investigated permutation group. We can consider of course also non-ordered by coordinates k -orbits or subspaces, if it is suitable. In such case a k -orbit is represented by matrix accurate to permutation of columns.

We say that two k -sets X_k and X'_k are G -isomorphic if they are isomorphic and connected with a permutation $g \in G$: $X'_k = gX_k \equiv \{g\alpha_k : \alpha_k \in X_k\}$. If we study a group G and X_k, X'_k are isomorphic, but not G -isomorphic, then we say that they are S_n -isomorphic (where S_n is the symmetric group).

We defined the left action GY_n of a group G on a n -subset Y_n as $GY_n \equiv \{gY_n : g \in G\}$. The left action AX_n of a subgroup $A < G$ on a n -orbit X_n of G is given as $AX_n \equiv \{A\alpha_n : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$. Correspondingly the right action $Y_n G \equiv \{Y_n g : g \in G\}$ and $X_n A \equiv \{\alpha_n A : \alpha_n \in X_n\}$. The left action of G on a k -set Y_k and A on a k -orbit X_k of G for $k < n$ is the same as for $k = n$, because $\hat{p}^{(I_k)}g\alpha_n = g\hat{p}^{(I_k)}\alpha_n$. By the right action the equality $\hat{p}^{(I_k)}(\alpha_n g) = (\hat{p}^{(I_k)}\alpha_n)g$ has sense only if α_n is the initial n -tuple. Hence the right action on k -sets has not a direct reduction from the right action on n sets. If X_n is a n -orbit of G , $A < G$ and Y_n is a n -orbit of A , then $L_n = GY_n = X_n A$ and $R_n = Y_n G = AX_n$ are partitions of X_n on n -orbits of left and right cosets of A in G , at that n -orbits of left cosets are n -orbits of conjugate to A subgroups of G , because n -orbits of left cosets are G -isomorphic, n -orbits of right cosets are n -orbits of A , because n -orbits of right cosets differ in order of coordinates. Projections of X_n, L_n and R_n on a subspace I_k gives a covering $L_k = GY_k$, where $Y_k = \hat{p}^{(I_k)}Y_n$, and a partition $R_k = AX_k$ of the

k -orbit X_k on k -orbits of left and right cosets of A in G . Below under an action of permutations we understand the left action.

Let k be a divisor of $|G|$. We call k as an *automorphic number* if there exists a k -element *suborbit* of G (a k -element orbit of a subgroup of G).

Let $Co(\alpha_k)$ be a set of coordinates of a k -tuple α_k , then for a k -set X_k we define $Co(X_k) \equiv \{Co(\alpha_k) : \alpha_k \in X_k\}$.

If $Co(\alpha_k)$ is a suborbit of G , then we say that α_k is an *automorphic k -tuple* and $Co(\alpha_k)$ is an *automorphic subset* of V . Let X_k be a k -set we say that X_k is an *automorphic k -set*, if it is a k -orbit. Let X_k be a k -orbit and $\alpha_k \in X_k$ be an automorphic k -tuple, then a k -orbit X_k we call as *right-automorphic k -orbit* or *k -rorbit*.

Let U be a set and Q be a set of subsets of U , then $\cup Q \equiv \cup_{(W \in Q)} W$. Under $\sqcup Q$ we understand a set of unions of intersected on U classes of Q . So $\sqcup Q$ is a partition of $\cup Q$ (possibly trivial). A union and intersection of partitions P and R of U we write as $P \sqcup R$ and $P \sqcap R$. Let P' be a subpartition of P , then we write $P' \sqsubset P$.

Let X_k be a k -rorbit, $\alpha_k \in X_k$ and Y_k be a maximal subset of X_k so that $Co(Y_k) = Co(\alpha_k)$, then we call Y_k as a *k -block* of X_k . If Y_k is a k -block, then $Aut(Y_k)$ is a transitive group of degree k .

Let X_k be a k -rorbit, $\cup Co(X_k) = V$ and $\sqcup Co(X_k)$ be a non-trivial partition of V , then we say that X_k is *incoherent*. If $\sqcup Co(X_k)$ is a non-trivial covering of V , then we say that X_k is *coherent*. Any coherent k -orbit consists of intersected on V k -blocks. An incoherent k -orbit contains k -suborbits that form a partition of the k -orbit, where classes of this partition are non-intersected on V coherent k -suborbits or k -blocks. A coherent k -orbit X_k is defined on a set $V = \cup Co(X_k)$. Its coherent or incoherent k -suborbit Y_k is defined on a set $U = \cup Co(Y_k) \subset V$. In order to show this we say that Y_k is *U -coherent* (*U -incoherent*). If a (V -) coherent k -orbit contains no U -coherent and no U -incoherent k -suborbit for any $U \subset V$, then we call it as *elementary coherent*.

Let Y_k be a k -suborbit of G . The maximal transitive on Y_k subgroup of G we call a *stabilizer* of Y_k in G and write it as $Stab_G(Y_k)$ or simply $Stab(Y_k)$.

Let X_k be a k -orbit of G and $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k -block, then evidently $L_k = GY_k$ is a partition of X_k and Y_k is a k -orbit of $Stab(Co(Y_k))$.

Let a group G be imprimitive, then G contains a non-trivial ($1 < k < n$) incoherent k -orbit. If G is primitive, then any (non-trivial) k -orbit of G is coherent. From here follows that it is convenient to consider primitive Abelian groups as trivial imprimitive, because, as distinct from non-Abelian primitive groups, such group G contains no non-trivial suborbit $U \subset V$ that forms a covering GU of V . So further under primitive group we understand non-Abelian primitive group. Let a group G be imprimitive and Q be a G -invariant partition of V ($GQ = Q$), then we say that classes of Q are *imprimitivity blocks*.

2.1 k -orbits and normal subgroups

k -Orbit theory shows a new approach for consideration of normal subgroups that based on pure combinatorial symmetries of k -orbits. We consider here some statements that show general relations between k -orbits of normal subgroups and statements that we need for the proof of theorem 1.

Proposition 2 *Let α_k be an automorphic k -tuple, $G = Stab(Co(\alpha_k))$, $H = Stab(\alpha_k)$ and $X_k = G\alpha_k$, then H is a normal subgroup of G and a factor group G/H is isomorphic to $Aut(X_k)$.*

Proof: If H is not trivial, then G is an intransitive group. Let X_n be a n -orbit of G , then there exists only one partition P_n of X_n on $|G|/|H|$ classes with projection $\hat{p}^{(\alpha_k)} P_n = X_k$.

Hence $X_n H = H X_n = P_n$. The group $\text{Aut}(X_k)$ is isomorphic to the factor group G/H , because $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| = |G/H|$ and it acts on classes of H in G transitive. $\square$

Proposition 3 *Let $X_k \in \text{Orb}_k(G)$, $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k -suborbit of G , $H = \text{Stab}(Y_k)$, $L_k = GY_k$ and $R_k = HX_k$. Let $L_k = R_k$, then H is a normal subgroup of G .*

Proof: There exists only one partition P_n of X_n on $|G|/|H|$ classes so that $\hat{p}^{(I_k)} P_n = L_k$. Hence $X_n H = H X_n = P_n$. $\square$

Proposition 4 *Let H be a normal subgroup of a primitive group G , then H is transitive.*

Proof: Let H be intransitive normal subgroup of a transitive group G , then projections of $Y_n = H I_n$ on orbits of H are G -isomorphic and hence a normalizer $N_G(H)$ is imprimitive. $\square$

Corollary 5 *Let G be a primitive group with no transitive subgroup, then G is a simple group.*

Proposition 6 *Let $A < H \triangleleft G$ be abstract groups and $N_H(A) = A$, then $N_G(A) \neq A$ and $|G|/|H| = |N_G(A)|/|A|$.*

Proof: $A^G = A^H$ and $|A^H| = |H|/|A|$. so $|N_G(A)|/|A| = (|G|/|A|)/(|H|/|A|) = |G|/|H|$. $\square$

Proposition 7 *Let $A(V) < H(V) \triangleleft G(V)$ be permutation groups and $N_H(A) = A$, then A contains G -isomorphic k -orbits.*

Proof: First, the statement follows from proposition 6. Second, H has G -isomorphic k -orbits $Y'_k = gY_k$ (as a normal subgroup). So Y'_k has the same structure as Y_k , i.e. if Y_k contains a k -orbit Z_k of A , then Y'_k contains also a k -orbit Z'_k of A and $Z'_k = gZ_k$ for some $g \in G$. $\square$

Lemma 8 *Let $G < A_n$, then $N_{S_n}(A) \neq A$.*

Corollary 9 *Let G be a primitive group with no transitive subgroup, then $N_{S_n}(G) \neq G$.*

2.2 Some relations between $|X_k|$ and $|\text{Aut}(X_k)|$

The consideration of a k -orbit X_k is easier if $|X_k| = |\text{Aut}(X_k)|$. Here we consider some conditions for this equality.

Proposition 10 *Let X_k be a k -orbit and for every subgroup $A < \text{Aut}(X_k)$ a partition $R_k = AX_k$ consists of classes of the same power, then $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| = |X_k|$.*

Proof: In such case no permutation from $\text{Aut}(X_k)$ fixes a k -tuple from X_k . $\square$

Lemma 11 *Let X_k be a k -orbit, $Y_k, Z_k \subset X_k$ be k -suborbits that have non-trivial intersection, $\alpha_k \in Y_k \cap Z_k$, $A, B < \text{Aut}(X_k)$, $Y_k = A\alpha_k$, $Z_k = B\alpha_k$, $|Y_k| = |A|$ and $|Z_k| = |B|$. Let $T_k \subset X_k$ be a k -orbit of a group $G = \text{gr}(A, B)$, then $|T_k| = |G|$.*

Proof: Let $Y_n \in \text{Orb}_n(A)$ and $Z_n \in \text{Orb}_n(B)$, then $|Y_k| = |Y_n|$ and $|Z_k| = |Z_n|$. Let $T_n \in \text{Orb}_n(G)$, then $|T_n|/|Y_n| = |T_n|/|Y_k|$ and $|T_n|/|Z_n| = |T_n|/|Z_k|$. It follows that $L'_k = GY_k$ and $L''_k = GZ_k$ are partitions of $T_k = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$. $\square$

Proposition 12 *Let $X_k \in \text{Orb}_k(G)$, $Y_k, Z_k \subset X_k$ be k -suborbits of G and $L'_k = GY_k, L''_k = GZ_k$ be partitions of X_k , then $L_k^1 = L'_k \cap L''_k$ and $L_k^2 = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$ are also partitions of X_k on isomorphic k -suborbits of G . If $Y_k \cap Z_k = T_k$ is not trivial and $U_k \in L_k^2$ contains Y_k and Z_k , then $L_k^1 = GT_k$, $L_k^2 = GU_k$, $\text{Stab}(T_k) = \text{Stab}(Y_k) \cap \text{Stab}(Z_k)$ and $\text{Stab}(U_k) = \text{gr}(\text{Stab}(Y_k), \text{Stab}(Z_k))$.*

Proof: Let $k = n$, then equalities follows from corresponding properties of sets of left cosets of subgroups of G . For $k < n$ equalities are projections of corresponding equalities for $k = n$. $\square$

Lemma 13 *Let for every k -suborbit Y_k of a k -orbit X_k a set $L_k = \text{Aut}(X_k)Y_k$ be a partition of X_k , then $\text{Aut}(X_k)$ contains a transitive on X_k normal subgroup H of order $|X_k|$.*

Proof: Let $G = \text{Aut}(X_k)$, M be a set of partitions of X_k on isomorphic k -suborbits of G and $L'_k, L''_k \in M$, then $L_k^1 = L'_k \cap L''_k$ and $L_k^2 = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$ are partitions from M . Let L''_k be a partition of X_k on k -blocks, then there exists a partition L'_k so that $L_k^2 = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$ is not trivial, i.e. $|L_k^2| < \min(|L'_k|, |L''_k|)$. We can assume now $L''_k = L_k^2$ and then obtain new $L_k^2 = L'_k \sqcup L''_k$ for corresponding new partition L'_k . By repeating we obtain that there exist partitions $L'_k, L''_k \in M$ so that $L_k^2 = L'_k \sqcup L''_k = \{X_k\}$.

We can realize a such union process for that a class Y_k of a partition L_k is a k -orbit of a subgroup $A < G$ of order $|A| = |Y_k|$, because we can begin from a subgroup that is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a k -block, and with a subgroup of a prime order that permutes k -blocks. Thus, according to lemma 11, G contains a subgroup H of order $|X_k|$ that acts transitive on X_k . This subgroup is normal in G , because there exists only one partition of a n -orbit X_n of G on automorphic classes with the same projection X_k on a subspace from X_k . $\square$

Proposition 14 *Let X_k be incoherent, then $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| \neq |X_k|$.*

Proof: Let m be a number of k -blocks of X_k and Y_k be a k -block. If $m > 2$, then X_k contains a k -suborbit of a power $(m-1)|Y_k|$ that does not divide $|X_k|$. If $m = 2$, then $|\text{Stab}(Y_k)| = |Y_k|^2$. $\square$

Proposition 15 *Let in lemma 13 the group G contains a subgroup A with trivial normalizer, then $H = G$, i.e. $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| = |X_k|$.*

Proof: Let $R_k = AX_k$ and $Y_k \in R_k$, then $\text{Stab}(Y_k) = A$. Let $H \neq G$, then $L_k = GY_k$ is a covering of X_k . Contradiction. Hence $H = G$. $\square$

Theorem 16 *Let in lemma 13 X_k be coherent, then $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| = |X_k|$.*

Proof: Let $A = \text{Stab}(v)$, $v \in V$ and $R_k = AX_k$. The k -orbit X_k defines a group $G = \text{Aut}(X_k)$ that is generated by its stabilizer A and other subgroups which belong to no stabilizer. Hence those generators have to be defined by k -suborbits from X_k . It follows that R_k contains a class Y_k with a power $|Y_k| = |A|$. So if $H \neq G$, then $L_k = GY_k$ is a covering of X_k . Contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 17 *Let X_k be an elementary coherent k -orbit, then for every k -suborbit $Y_k \subset X_k$ a set $L_k = \text{Aut}(X_k)Y_k$ is a partition of X_k .*

Proof: Let $Y_k \subset X_k$ be a k -block, then $L_k = GY_k$ is a partition of X_k on definition. Let $Z_k \subset X_k$ be a k -suborbit of $\text{Aut}(X_k)$ that has non-trivial intersection with Y_k . Let $A = \text{gr}(\text{Stab}(Y_k), \text{Stab}(Z_k))$, then k -orbit of A in X_k is X_k , because the elementary coherent k -orbit X_k is the unique super- k -suborbit for any k -block. Hence $\text{Aut}(X_k)Z_k$ is a partition of X_k . $\square$

Theorem 18 *Let X_k be an elementary coherent k -orbit, then $|\text{Aut}(X_k)| = |X_k|$.*

3 A simple Proof of the Theorem 1

Let $G(V)$ be an imprimitive group and Q be a partition of V on imprimitivity blocks, then G has a homomorphic (possibly isomorphic) transitive representation $G'(Q)$ on classes of Q .

Lemma 19 *Let $G'(Q)$ contains a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order, then $G(V)$ contains a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order too.*

Proof: Let $g' \in G'$ be a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order p^l that is a reduction of an element $g \in G$, then g is evidently a fixed-point-free element and $|g| = p^m d$, where a multiplier d is coprime to p and $m \geq l$. So g^d is a fixed-point-free element of a prime-power order of the group G . $\square$

Hence it is sufficient to consider the theorem 1 for primitive groups.

Let $G(V)$ be a primitive group that contains a transitive subgroup A , then we can reduce the task on subgroup A . So it is necessary to consider the theorem 1 for a primitive group that contains no transitive subgroup. Let $G(V)$ be a such group of a degree n and k be a maximal automorphic divisor of n .

We know that a normalizer $N = N_{S_n}(G) \neq G$, i.e. G contains N -isomorphic k -orbits. Hence there must exist a partition Q of V on k -element N -isomorphic suborbits of G , so that projections of a n -orbit X_n of G on these suborbits are N -isomorphic k -orbits.

Lemma 20 *Let X_k be a such k -orbit, then it is elementary coherent.*

Proof: Let X_k be not elementary coherent, then there exists a suborbit $U \subset V$ of G that consists of not intersected k -tuples from X_k and $|U|$ does not divide $|V|$. It gives a contradiction in action of N on U and on the partition Q . $\square$

Since X_k is elementary coherent, $|X_k| = |G|$. From here follows that a stabilizer A of a class from Q is isomorphic to its projections on classes of Q . If a such projection of A has fixed-point-free prime-power element, then A also has fixed-point-free prime-power element. Thus we have again a reduction of a task that solves theorem 1.

Conclusion

The main inference from the investigation of k -orbits symmetry properties is that a finite group F is not closed by its algebraic properties, because the group algebra F is equivalent to the action of F on F , but this algebra generates also the action of F on F^k . Properties of that action not always can be interpreted with group algebra or with traditional permutation group theory. Namely such properties are the subject of investigation of the k -orbit theory. Some investigations related with an application of k -orbit representation to problems announced above are described in [3] - [5]

The k -orbit theory gives a new view on finite many-dimensional symmetries and can bring new ideas and applications to other sciences studying symmetrical objects.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express many thanks to Prof. P. Cameron for his excellent site on the internet, for two years of e-mail contacts and for presenting me some examples of permutation groups interesting for analysis by methods of k -orbit theory. I also would like to express many thanks to Prof. Bernd Fischer for his patience to hear me out.

References

- [1] Peter J. Cameron, *Elusive groups and the polycirculant conjecture*, Queen Mary, University of London, Barcelona, February 2001
- [2] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher, J. Reine Angew. Math. 328 (1981), 39-57
- [3] Aleksandr Golubchik, *The polynomial algorithm for graphs' isomorphism testing*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0202085>, February 2002.
- [4] Aleksandr Golubchik, *On the Polycirculant conjecture*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GM/0204209>, April 2002.
- [5] Aleksandr Golubchik, *On the nature of finite groups*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GM/0408202>, September 2004.
- [6] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite simple groups*, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1982